#### Processor Evolution: What to Prepare Application Codes For?

#### **Orap Mini-Workshop** François Bodin, Henri Calandra, Alain Refloc<sup>´</sup>h



Migrating application codes may a lot look like

#### In an old house, when replacing one wall tile you may end with getting the wall tore down.

(Thomas Guignon)

#### Mini-Workshop Attendees

- Alimi Jean-Michel, Observatoire de Paris
- Colin de Verdière Guillaume, CEA DAM
- Courteille François, Nvidia
- Dinh Quang, Dassault Aviation
- Dolbeau Romain, CAPS entreprise
- Fournier Yvan, EDF
- Grigori Laura, Inria Rocquencourt
- Guignon Thomas, IFPEN

- Kern Michel, Inria (External contributor)
- Meurdesoif Yann, CEA
- Namyst Raymond, Inria Bordeaux
- Petiton Serge, Université de Lille 1, Sciences et Technologies
- Ricoux Philippe, Total
- Thierry Philippe, Intel

#### Context

- The Power Wall has led to the design of new parallel multi-core and many-core architectures
  - Achieving scaling is the challenge
  - Application codes (will) have to exhibit massive parallelism at the node level
- The evolution of processors is very strongly and deeply impacting
  - Code development
  - Maintenance practice
  - Numerical methods
- Bill Harrod from the Department of Energy (DOE): "Uncertainty threatens future of computing, the world has changed; technology is changing at a dramatic rate; The IT marketplace is also changing dramatically, PC sales have flattened, Handhelds dominate growth, HPC vendor uncertainty; Data volume and variety explosion"

#### Code Main Matters

- Code validation
- Surviving at least 4 generations of (very different) machines
- Homogeneous programming is at an end
- Sophisticated runtime techniques required
- Data structure organization
- IO sub-systems / data management
- Application development process
- Very likely that in some cases achieving scalability will require going back to basics,
  - i.e. physics, instead of forcefully trying to adapt legacy codes 5

#### Warning

It should be noted that the first factor driving an application development is its ecosystem and its deployment constraints

## [R1] Validation

- Implemented as a continuous process as recommended
  - Validation must ensure reproducibility of the result without imposing a bit to bit comparison of the result
  - For instance implemented by frameworks such as Hudson
- This issue is a primary consideration when migrating or designing a code

### [R2] Data Locality

- We are now very very far away from the 24 Bytes per Flop of the CRAY1
  - Complex memory hierarchies
- Internal data structures must be designed in order to facilitate adaptation to the architecture of the computer
  - E.g. array of structures versus structure of arrays
- Serializable data structures are preferable since at one point they
  may have to migrate to a different storage unit
  - E.g. use of accelerators, saving data structures in NVM, ...
- C++ templates can be one of the implementation technique
  - But this topic is controversial due to the complexity in code maintenance

#### [R3] Scalability

 Collective operations (e.g. reduction) do not scale well enough

- When possible they should be avoided

- Neighbor data exchanges are to be preferred
- Choosing the solver is crucial and must be carefully considered

# [R4] Programming Languages

- Programming language choice
  - Must first be performed according to developers background
  - Fortran can still be a good choice
  - Mixing languages (e.g. Fortran and C++) is an option to cover functional needs
  - Code architectures more important than the language
- Engineering consideration should be the one guiding the choices here
  - E.g. portability, persistence, efficiency, libraries, ...
- Combining languages in a given application requires careful thinking
  - e.g. Libraries, build complexity, compiler adherence, ...

#### [R5] Domain Specific Approaches

- May provide a level of abstraction appealing to scientists
  - Complexity of standard programming is likely to distract scientists from their scientific discovery goals
- Embedded Domain Specific Language in a general purpose host language (e.g. Fortran)
  - Embedded DSL provides extra semantic information and/or code generation strategy
  - E.g. OpenACC
- Embedded DSL in some cases are not enough to provide a high level algorithmic abstraction
  - The data model is the one of the host language and does not carry the high level semantic required (e.g. an array is not a matrix)

#### [R6] Development Process and Infrastructure

- The cost of a bug increases with its late discovery
- This is not new, but implementing massive parallel execution make code development extremely complex
  - Pro-active techniques needed
  - Applications embed bug detection, traces generation, ...
- The development process must integrate software engineering best practices such as
  - Control using automatic tools (e.g. continuous integration)
  - Configuration management
  - Performance measurement integrated inside the code
  - Unitary test
  - Non-regression testing

- ...

## [R7] Fault Tolerance

- Fault tolerance as envisioned for Exascale systems is not presently an important consideration
- But the increase in the cost of IO pushes
  - To consider strategies that minimizes the volume of data needed for implementing a checkpoint restart technique
- Applicative specific techniques are the most promising
  - It is believed that they are the only one that may scale in the long run
  - Does not mean that system/runtime support is not necessary, on the contrary

# [R8] I/O

- Data management and I/O performance will strongly influence the design of applications
   – Requires a global view on the data life cycle
- Designing the applications requires finding tradeoffs between
  - In-situ vs. ex-situ processing
  - Selecting data format
  - Access policy
  - Data relocation
  - Format changes, etc.

#### [R9] Pre and Post Processing Integration

- Pre and post processing may frequently become the application bottlenecks
  - In-situ analysis to decrease the volume of data to transfer out of the machine
- The scientific discovery process must be particularly well understood to design a long-term solution

## [R10] Code Architecture

- The code architecture
  - Must be mastered and enforced
  - Flaws in the architecture and its dissolution over time have expensive consequences
- Goal of the software architecture
  - Modular structure
  - Help re-writing, modifying the fast changing part of the code
  - Help mixing technologies and competencies of the application development teams
  - Provide external APIs to improve usability
  - Internal API to enforced structured development and best practices
  - Allow the development of a machine specific with more lasting generic versions
- Allow to plug-and-play solvers so they can be chosen according to the execution platform
  - This consideration is not only related to code architecture, it must be consistent with numerical schemes

## [R11] Coding Rules

- They are a set of rules to guide developers
  - Specific to each application and ecosystem
  - Their use aims at preserving code efficiency, maintenance and evolution capabilities
  - Specifies preferred code writing style (e.g. naming conventions, ...)
- For the HPC domain
  - Typically the rules would include code structures that favors vectorization, data locality, efficient parallel execution, etc.

### [R12] Libraries

- The use of external libraries is recommended but must be chosen with care
- Use as much as possible native libraries (or open source ones)
  - Very well optimized
- Don't use old algorithms that have been designed for sequential execution with no memory hierarchy
   E.g. 1986 Numerical Recipees
  - E.g. 1986 Numerical Recipees
- Choose long lasting libraries or easily replaceable
   ones to limit adherence to a given platform

# [R13] Runtimes

- Runtimes provide intermediate resource management services not directly provided by the operating systems
  - E.g. StarPU, X-Kaapi, MPC, ...
  - Adapt to run / machine configurations
- Growing number of threads
  - Hierarchical techniques needed to avoid high thread management overhead
  - However, this evolution may lead to less accurate scheduling with more workload unbalancing

# [R14] Debugging

- Debugging is a sensitive issue difficult to integrate from the start to project
  - Usually a post-mortem technique however ease of debugging is usually a consequence of the development methodology
  - Integration in the application of the right observation tools (e.g. tracing capabilities, visualization of code data structure)
- The use of tools such as Valgrind etc. is recommended even if the code execution incurs a large slowdown (x10)

- Detection of memory leaks, ...

## [15] Vector and Data Parallelism

- Vector capabilities contribute to a large part of the performance of current CPU
  - E.g. 80% on an Intel Xeon Phi
  - Data parallelism to use accelerators (i.e. SIMT) is important
- The use of such parallelism cannot be left to compilers alone
  - Code writing rules can greatly help to achieve automatic vectorization by compilers
  - Use of vector intrinsics is not advised

# [16] Technological Watch

- Anticipating hardware evolution has a cost
  - Especially since current uncertainty generates multiple tracks
- Avoid the temptation of everything that's new but do not procrastinate
  - Technological watch is key to make the right decisions
  - Unfortunately it is always easier to justify new user oriented features rather than a technology evolution

#### [R17] Gathering Competencies

- International and national initiatives are such as "Maison de la Simulation"
- And other competence centers should be used as much as possible

#### **Conclusions and Perspectives**

- Processor evolution toward massive parallelism questions the codes evolution
- Migrating or a designing a new code for the decade to come
  - An extremely challenging tasks
  - Will requires to make multiple algorithmic and technological choices